

3. On information and belief Defendant, Canon U.S.A., Inc., (hereafter “Canon”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Virginia, with a principal place of business located at One Canon Plaza, Lake Success, New York 11042.

4. On information and belief Defendant, Eastman Kodak Company (hereafter “Kodak”), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New Jersey, with a principal place of business located at 343 State Street, Rochester, New York 14650.

5. On information and belief Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Company (hereafter “Hewlett-Packard”), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business located at 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California 94304-1185.

6. On information and belief Defendant, Fujifilm North America Corporation f/k/a Fujifilm U.S.A., Inc. (hereafter “Fujifilm USA”), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business located at 200 Summit Lake Drive, Valhalla, New York 10595.

7. On information and belief, Defendant, Xerox International Partners, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, with a principal place of business at 3400 Hillview Ave Bldg 4, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1346.

II.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq.

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338(a).

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Canon and Canon USA (collectively “the Canon Defendants”) since, on information and belief, each of the Canon Defendants has transacted business in this judicial district and/or has committed acts of infringement in this

judicial district, for example via retail locations in the Eastern District of Texas such as Conn's, Walmart, Best Buy and others.

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Kodak since, on information and belief, Kodak has transacted business in this judicial district and/or has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, for example via retail locations in the Eastern District of Texas such as Conn's, Walmart, Best Buy and others.

12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Hewlett-Packard since, on information and belief, Hewlett-Packard has transacted business in this judicial district and/or has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, for example via retail locations in the Eastern District of Texas such as Conn's, Walmart, Best Buy and others.

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Fujifilm USA since, on information and belief, Fujifilm USA has transacted business in this judicial district and/or has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, for example via retail locations in the Eastern District of Texas such as Conn's, Walmart, Best Buy and others.

14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Xerox International Partners ("XIP") since, on information and belief, XIP has transacted business in this judicial district and/or has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district by, among other things, selling and/or offering for sale infringing products in this judicial district.

15. Venue in this district over all defendants is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and (d) and 1400(b).

III. **BACKGROUND**

16. On August 22, 1989, U. S. Patent No. 4,860,103 (hereafter "the '103 patent") was duly and legally issued to Mohammad S. Azam and Michael D. Carr, as the inventors thereof,

and at all applicable times was valid and subsisting. A copy of the '103 patent, which is entitled "Video Level Control," is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

17. On March 14, 1989, U. S. Patent No. 4,813,056 (hereafter "the '056 patent") was duly and legally issued to Nicola J. Fedele, as the inventor thereof, and at all applicable times was valid and subsisting. A copy of the '056 patent, which is entitled "Modified Statistical Coding of Digital Signals," is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

18. Mohammad S. Azam and Michael D. Carr originally assigned their rights to the '103 patent to British Telecommunications plc. The '103 patent was subsequently assigned to General Electric Company, which assigned all rights, title and interest in and to the '103 patent to Princeton Digital Image Compression, LLC. Princeton Digital Image Compression, LLC has assigned all rights, title and interest in and to the '103 patent to Princeton Digital Image Corporation .

19. Nicola J. Fedele originally assigned his rights to the '056 patent to General Electric Company, which assigned all rights, title and interest in and to the '056 patent to Princeton Digital Image Compression, LLC. Princeton Digital Image Compression, LLC has assigned all rights, title and interest in and to the '056 patent to Princeton Digital Image Corporation .

IV. **INFRINGEMENT OF THE '103 PATENT**

A. CANON DEFENDANTS

20. Upon information and belief, the Canon Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent by having performed, without authority to do so, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products, including but not limited to digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products,

including but not limited to digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

21. The Canon Defendants were given notice of their infringement of the '103 patent on or before December 23, 2003. Despite that notice, the Canon Defendants continued to infringe the '103 patent.

22. Upon information and belief, the Canon Defendants' acts of infringement of the '103 patent were both deliberate and willful.

23. Princeton is entitled to recover from the Canon Defendants those damages sustained as a result of the Canon Defendants' wrongful acts of infringement of the '103 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

B. EASTMAN KODAK

24. Upon information and belief, Kodak has infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent by having performed, without authority to do so, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

25. Kodak was given notice of its infringement of the '103 patent in or before April 2006. Despite that notice, Kodak continued to infringe the '103 patent.

26. Upon information and belief, Kodak's acts of infringement of the '103 patent were both deliberate and willful.

27. Princeton is entitled to recover from Kodak the damages sustained as a result of Kodak's wrongful acts of infringement of the '103 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

C. HEWLETT-PACKARD

28. Upon information and belief, Hewlett-Packard has infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent by having performed, without authority to do so, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

29. Hewlett-Packard was given notice of its infringement of the '103 patent on or before December 23, 2003. Despite that notice, Hewlett-Packard continued to infringe the '103 patent.

30. Upon information and belief, Hewlett-Packard's acts of infringement of the '103 patent were both deliberate and willful.

31. Princeton is entitled to recover from Hewlett-Packard the damages sustained as a result of Hewlett-Packard's wrongful acts of infringement of the '103 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

D. FUJIFILM USA

32. Upon information and belief, Fujifilm USA has infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent by having performed, without authority to do so, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, digital still cameras, that infringed one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '103 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

33. Fujifilm USA was given notice of its infringement of the '103 patent as to DSCs on or before December 23, 2003. Despite that notice, Fujifilm USA continued to infringe the '103 patent.

34. Upon information and belief, Fujifilm USA's acts of infringement of the '103 patent were both deliberate and willful.

35. Princeton is entitled to recover from Fujifilm USA those damages sustained as a result of Fujifilm USA's wrongful acts of infringement of the '103 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

**V.
INFRINGEMENT OF THE '056 PATENT**

A. CANON DEFENDANTS

36. Upon information and belief, the Canon Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent by having performed, without authority to do so, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain camcorder, copier, scanner and

multifunction products, that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain camcorder, copier, scanner and multifunction products that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

37. The Canon Defendants were given notice of their infringement of the '056 patent on or before December 22, 2003. Despite that notice, the Canon Defendants continued to infringe the '056 patent.

38. Upon information and belief, the Canon Defendants' acts of infringement of the '056 patent were both deliberate and willful.

39. Princeton is entitled to recover from the Canon Defendants those damages sustained as a result of the Canon Defendants' wrongful acts of infringement of the '056 Patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

B. EASTMAN KODAK

40. Upon information and belief, Kodak has infringed one or more claims of the '056 Patent by having performed, without authority to do so, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain picture kiosk, copier, scanner and multifunction products and photo editing and printing services, that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain picture kiosk, copier, scanner and multifunction products and photo editing and printing services that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in

violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

41. Kodak was given notice of its infringement of the '056 patent on or before April 5, 2006. Despite that notice, Kodak continued to infringe the '056 patent.

42. Upon information and belief, Kodak's acts of infringement of the '056 patent were both deliberate and willful.

43. Princeton is entitled to recover from Kodak those damages sustained as a result of Kodak's wrongful acts of infringement of the '056 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

C. HEWLETT-PACKARD

44. Upon information and belief, Hewlett-Packard has infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent by its having performed, without authority, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain computer, smartphone, copier, scanner and multifunction products and photo editing and printing services, that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain computer, smartphone, copier, scanner and multifunction products and photo editing and printing services that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

45. Hewlett-Packard was given notice of its infringement of the '056 patent on or before September 8, 2003. Despite that notice, Hewlett-Packard continued to infringe the '056 patent.

46. Upon information and belief, Hewlett-Packard's acts of infringement of the '056 patent were both deliberate and willful.

47. Princeton is entitled to recover from Hewlett-Packard those damages sustained as a result of Hewlett-Packard's wrongful acts of infringement of the '056 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

D. FUJIFILM USA

48. Upon information and belief, Fujifilm USA has infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent by its having performed, without authority, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain copier, scanner and/or multifunction products, that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, digital cameras and certain copier, scanner and/or multifunction products that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

49. Fujifilm USA was given notice of its infringement of the '056 patent on or before December 23, 2003. Despite that notice, Fujifilm USA continued to infringe the '056 patent.

50. Upon information and belief, Fujifilm USA's acts of infringement of the '056 patent were both deliberate and willful.

51. Princeton is entitled to recover from Fujifilm USA those damages sustained as a result of Fujifilm USA's wrongful acts of infringement of the '056 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

E. XIP

52. Upon information and belief, XIP has infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent by its having performed, without authority, one or more of the following acts: (a) making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States products including, but not limited to, certain copier, scanner and/or multifunction products, that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) importing into the United States products including, but not limited to, certain copier, scanner and/or multifunction products that infringed one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (c) inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and/or (d) contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '056 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

53. XIP was given notice of its infringement of the '056 patent on or before December 23, 2003. Despite that notice, XIP continued to infringe the '056 patent.

54. Upon information and belief, XIP's acts of infringement of the '056 patent were both deliberate and willful.

55. Princeton is entitled to recover from XIP those damages sustained as a result of XIP's wrongful acts of infringement of the '056 patent in an amount subject to proof at trial.

**VI.
PRAYER AND RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Princeton prays for the following relief:

1. Princeton recover damages from Defendants resulting from Defendants' infringement, and that said damages be enhanced in view of Defendants' willful and wanton conduct;

2. Princeton recover interest and costs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

3. Princeton have such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

Trial by jury is hereby demanded.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: May 11, 2010

By: /s/ Greg M. Luck
Thomas W. Sankey, P.C.
State Bar No. 17635670
Email: twosankey@duanemorris.com
Gregory M. Luck, P.C.
State Bar No. 12666380
E-mail: gmluck@duanemorris.com
Wesley W. Yuan
State Bar No. 24042434
Email: wwyuan@duanemorris.com
Jordan T. Fowles
State Bar No. 24048471
Email: jtfowles@duanemorris.com
DUANE MORRIS, LLP
3200 Southwest Freeway, Suite 3150
Houston, Texas 77027-7540
Telephone: (713) 402-3900
Facsimile: (713) 583-9623

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF,
PRINCETON DIGITAL IMAGE
CORPORATION**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 11th day of May 2010, all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s/ Gregory M. Luck
Gregory M. Luck